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#### Abstract

C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{22}, M_{r}=526.6\), monoclinic, $a=$ 24.613 (14), $b=3.860$ (2), $c=25.895$ (17) $\AA, \beta=$ $95.85(6)^{\circ}, V=2447.4 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{c}=1.43 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, $F(000)=1096$, Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA$, $\mu($ Mo $K \alpha)=0.088 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Systematic absences $h 0 l$, $h+l=2 n+1$; and $0 k 0, k=2 n+1$ determined space group $P 2_{\mathrm{f}} / n$ uniquely. The structure was solved by direct methods in space group $P n$ and then refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations in space group $P 2_{1} / n$ to $R=0.079$ for 923 observed reflections ( $I>$ $3 \sigma I)$. The asymmetric unit contains two independent half-molecules (I and II) at inversion centres separated by $\frac{1}{2} a$. Both molecules have the same twist conformation; the terminal pyrene moiety is twisted ( $4.6^{\circ}$ in I, $4.8^{\circ}$ in II) out of the central anthracene plane to relieve intramolecular overcrowding of the phenanthrene type.


Introduction. Crystals of $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ (Fig. 1) prepared by Clar (1964) and Macpherson (1962) are dark-red needles with well defined faces; a small needle crystal of dimensions $0.24 \times 0.11 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted with the $b$ axis $10^{\circ}$ offset from the $\varphi$ axis of a Hilger \& Watts Y290 four-circle diffractometer. The unit-cell dimensions were determined from a least-squares analysis of the setting angles of eight reflections with $\theta$ (Mo $K a$ ) in the range $10-15^{\circ}$. Intensities were measured by the $\theta / 2 \theta$ scan technique $\left(2^{\circ}<\theta<25^{\circ}\right)$ and graphite-monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. Thirty steps of $0.02^{\circ}$ in $\theta$ and of 2 s duration were taken through each diffraction position and stationary crystal-stationary counting background counts of 15 s duration were measured at the beginning and end of each scan. The intensities of three reflections, measured as 'standards' after every 100 reflection measurements, did not change significantly during the course of the data collection. The crystals of this hydrocarbon, like those of many other aromatic hydrocarbons, e.g. $\beta$ dinaphthoperopyrene (Robertson \& Trotter, 1959), dipernaphthyleneanthracene (Rossmann, 1959), circumanthracene (Clar, Kelly, Robertson \& Rossmann, 1956) and $\beta$-tribenzopyrene (Roberts \& Ferguson, 1977), showed a very rapid decrease in intensity
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with increasing scattering angle. Of the 5399 reciprocal-lattice sites surveyed, only 923 reflections had $I>3 \sigma(I)$. These were labelled observed and, after appropriate Lorentz and polarization corrections, were used in the refinement of the structure. No corrections for absorption were considered necessary.

The structure was solved by direct methods using mULTAN 78 (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1978). Because the molecule contains arrays of regular hexagons, we anticipated some problems with $E$ maps using a simple directmethod approach, and indeed we were not disappointed. An initial trial run with MULTAN 78 and Wilson (1942) scaling led to an infinite 'chicken-wire' structure. When we introduced structural information, via $K$ curve normalization, the distribution of $E$ 's appeared to be noncentrosymmetric rather than centrosymmetric and an $E$ map, computed with the phases of the 436 reflections with $E>1 \cdot 2$, again led to a 'chicken-wire' array of peaks. At this point we decided to try and solve the structure in the noncentrosymmetric space group $P n$ which would also require the asymmetric unit to contain two independent $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ molecules. The first $E$ map produced by MULTAN 78 with $K$-curve scaling and $P n$ space-group symmetry revealed the entire structure. Inspection of the coordinates showed immediately that the centres of two molecules thus revealed were separated by exactly ( $\frac{1}{2}, 0,0$ ), implying that the correct space group, as indicated by the systematic absences, was $P 2_{1} / n$ [non-standard setting of $P 2_{1} / c$ with equivalent positions $\pm\left(x, y, z ; \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y\right.$, $\left.\left.\frac{1}{2}+z\right)\right]$; the asymmetric unit contains two independent half-molecules. For computational convenience we


Fig. 1. Tetrabenzo $\left[d e, n o, s t, c_{1} d_{1}\right]$ heptacene showing the crystallographic numbering, and the bond- and ring-labelling schemes.
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Table 1. Fractional coordinates with estimated standard deviations in parentheses and calculated coordinates for the hydrogen atoms

The atoms are numbered according to the scheme shown in the molecular formula in Fig. 1 with a ' 1 ' placed before each atom number in molecule (I) and a ' 2 ' before each atom number in molecule (II). The H atoms have the same numbers as the C atoms to which they are bonded.

|  | $x\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ | $y\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ | $z\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ |  | $x\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ | $y\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ | $z\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ |  | $x\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ | $y\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ | $z\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $4924(5)$ | $42(4)$ | $6982(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(121)$ | $4510(5)$ | $-164(4)$ | $5092(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 4162 | -146 | 6810 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $4418(5)$ | $-70(4)$ | $7087(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1879(4)$ | $-271(4)$ | $5994(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 3915 | -151 | 7648 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $4269(7)$ | $-72(5)$ | $7589(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1849(6)$ | $-209(4)$ | $6518(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 4521 | 28 | 8353 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $4624(7)$ | $34(5)$ | $8010(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $2305(5)$ | $-250(5)$ | $6868(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(19)$ | 6256 | 482 | 8530 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $5130(6)$ | $153(4)$ | $7899(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $2767(6)$ | $-390(4)$ | $6729(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(110)$ | 5412 | 283 | 8664 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $5288(5)$ | $171(4)$ | $7394(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $2816(5)$ | $-487(4)$ | $6200(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(111)$ | 6944 | 626 | 7918 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $5813(6)$ | $296(4)$ | $7311(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $2366(5)$ | $-408(4)$ | $5831(7)$ | $\mathrm{H}(112)$ | 7203 | 651 | 7078 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $6183(7)$ | $423(4)$ | $7728(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $2399(4)$ | $-508(4)$ | $5300(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(113)$ | 6605 | 463 | 6383 |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $6013(7)$ | $406(4)$ | $8245(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $2886(6)$ | $-649(4)$ | $5171(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(117)$ | 4411 | -172 | 6094 |
| $\mathrm{C}(110)$ | $5515(7)$ | $284(4)$ | $8321(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $3347(5)$ | $-706(4)$ | $5527(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(120)$ | 6035 | 349 | 5799 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $6697(7)$ | $549(4)$ | $7635(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $309(5)$ | $-627(4)$ | $6026(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(121)$ | 4188 | -293 | 5176 |
| $\mathrm{C}(112)$ | $6853(6)$ | $565(4)$ | $7138(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(211)$ | $2912(6)$ | $-749(4)$ | $4624(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 1514 | -136 | 6635 |
| $\mathrm{C}(113)$ | $6496(5)$ | $452(4)$ | $6724(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(212)$ | $2495(6)$ | $-705(4)$ | $4260(7)$ | $\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 2289 | -177 | 7216 |
| $\mathrm{C}(114)$ | $5978(5)$ | $324(4)$ | $6786(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(213)$ | $1988(5)$ | $-565(4)$ | $4401(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 3067 | -426 | 6985 |
| $\mathrm{C}(115)$ | $5591(5)$ | $208(4)$ | $6345(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(214)$ | $1956(4)$ | $-479(4)$ | $4918(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 3677 | -795 | 5419 |
| $\mathrm{C}(116)$ | $5073(4)$ | $61(4)$ | $6446(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(215)$ | $1431(5)$ | $-333(3)$ | $5057(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(210)$ | 3613 | -667 | 6275 |
| $\mathrm{C}(117)$ | $4742(5)$ | $-61(4)$ | $6029(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(216)$ | $1410(5)$ | $-218(3)$ | $5599(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(211)$ | 3238 | -850 | 4528 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $4858(6)$ | $-32(3)$ | $5494(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(217)$ | $936(5)$ | $-69(4)$ | $5719(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(212)$ | 2532 | -767 | 3910 |
| $\mathrm{C}(119)$ | $5371(5)$ | $115(4)$ | $5416(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(218)$ | $459(4)$ | $-30(4)$ | $5362(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(213)$ | 1688 | -527 | 4147 |
| $\mathrm{C}(120)$ | $5703(5)$ | $238(4)$ | $5843(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(219)$ | $476(5)$ | $-160(4)$ | $4847(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(217)$ | 924 | 17 | 6062 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}(220)$ | $982(5)$ | $-295(4)$ | $4721(4)$ | $\mathrm{H}(220)$ | 1005 | -365 | 4372 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}(221)$ | $-29(5)$ | $120(4)$ | $5500(5)$ | $\mathrm{H}(221)$ | -51 | 195 |
|  | 5846 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Mean bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) in tetrabenzoheptacene averaged over chemically equivalent bonds

For details of the bond labelling see Fig. 1.
The largest variation between chemically equivalent bonds is in bond $c$ where lengths in the range 1.383 (23) to 1.475 (22) $\dot{A}$ were found.

| Bond |  | Calculated <br> (HMO) | Bond |  | Calculated <br> (HMO) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $1.34(2)$ | 1.36 | $h$ | $1.41(2)$ | 1.40 |
| $b$ | $1.44(2)$ | 1.40 | $i$ | $1.43(2)$ | 1.40 |
| $c$ | $1.42(2)$ | 1.39 | $j$ | $1.48(2)$ | 1.42 |
| $d$ | $1.36(2)$ | 1.38 | $k$ | $1.46(2)$ | 1.41 |
| $e$ | $1.40(2)$ | 1.38 | $l$ | $1.36(2)$ | 1.38 |
| $f$ | $1.39(2)$ | 1.39 | $m$ | $1.42(2)$ | 1.40 |
| $g$ | $1.42(2)$ | 1.40 | $n$ | $1.42(2)$ | 1.41 |
|  |  |  | $o$ | $1.38(2)$ | 1.39 |

placed one half-molecule (I) at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and the other (II) at $\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, independent inversion centres in space group $P 2_{1} / n$.

Three cycles of full-matrix least-squares calculations with isotropic $C$ atoms lowered $R$ to 0.127 . When the C atoms were allowed anisotropic motion $R$ fell to 0.098 in three cycles and a difference map computed at this stage revealed the H atoms; these were allowed for (in geometrically idealized positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 0.95 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }} 0.06 \AA^{2}$ ), but not refined, in subsequent

Table 3. Mean bond angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ in tetrabenzoheptacene averaged over chemically equivalent angles

For details of the bond labelling see Fig. 1.
Four of the chemically equivalent bond-angle sets have values which differ by $4 \sigma$ to $5 \sigma$ : e.g. angles $f-j 117 \cdot 4-123.0(1 \cdot 2)^{\circ} ; c-h$ $117.3-123.5(1.3)^{\circ} ; c-d$ 116.3-122.7 (1.4) ${ }^{\circ}$; and $b-h 117.3-$ 124.3 (1.5) ${ }^{\circ}$.

| Bonds | Bonds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a-b$ | $121(1)$ | $g-i$ | $122(1)$ |
| $b-c$ | $121(1)$ | $g-j$ | $119(1)$ |
| $b-h$ | $120(1)$ | $h-i$ | $120(1)$ |
| $c-h$ | $120(1)$ | $j-k$ | $120(1)$ |
| $c-d$ | $120(1)$ | $j-l$ | $123(1)$ |
| $d-e$ | $121(2)$ | $k-l$ | $118(1)$ |
| $e-f$ | $121(1)$ | $l-m$ | $125(1)$ |
| $f-g$ | $120(1)$ | $m-n$ | $117(1)$ |
| $f-j$ | $121(1)$ | $m-o$ | $123(1)$ |
| $g-h$ | $119(1)$ | $n-0$ | $120(1)$ |

calculations. In three more rounds of calculations convergence was achieved with $R=0.079$ and $R_{w}=$ $\left(\sum w \Delta^{2} / \sum w F_{o}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=0.079$. Weights in the leastsquares calculations were derived from the counting statistics. Scattering factors were those of Cromer \& Mann (1968) for $C$ and of Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) for $H$. A difference synthesis calculated at the conclusion of the refinement had no significant features.

The final fractional coordinates for molecules (I) and (II) are in Table 1. Mean bond lengths and angles averaged over the two independent half-molecules are in Tables 2 and 3.* Fig. 2 is an ORTEP (Johnson, 1971) view of molecule (I) and Fig. 3 shows a stereoview of the arrangement of six molecules in the unit cell.

Discussion. The crystal structure (Fig. 3) is typical of the packing of many aromatic hydrocarbons, and contains almost planar molecules stacked along $\mathbf{b}$, with only normal van der Waals distances between them.

The mean molecular dimensions (Table 2) are in accord with expected values, and show that the shortest bond is $a$ (see Fig. 1) and the longest is $j$ in the phenanthrene-like region. For comparison, using the Hückel molecular orbital (HMO) method (Streitweiser \& Brauman, 1965), we have also calculated bond
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Fig. 2. View of molecule (I) with $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids for C and spheres of an arbitrary radius for H. Also shown are the displacements ( $\dot{\AA} \times 10^{2}$ ) of the C atoms from the molecular plane (numbers on the left side refer to I, on the right side to II). Estimated standard deviations of the displacements are 0.01$0.02 \AA$.


Fig. 3. Stereoview of the crystal structure.
lengths (Table 2), which agree qualitatively with those determined by our diffraction study. In particular, the HMO calculations show that bond $a$ has the highest double-bond character $(0.77)$ and bond $j$ the lowest ( 0.42 ), in agreement with our observations.

Relief from steric strain in the phenanthrene-like regions of the molecules is obtained mainly by small changes in the torsion angles of the $C(2)-C(1)-$ $C(16)-C(17)$ type (see Fig. 1) from $0^{\circ}$ in an idealized planar structure to 4.0 and $6.2^{\circ}$ in molecule (I), and 3.3 and $7.3^{\circ}$ in molecule (II). These displacements result in the molecules adopting a slight twist conformation. The angle between the terminal pyrene (rings $A B C D$ in Fig. 1) and the central anthracene (rings $E F E^{\prime}$ ) moieties is $4.6^{\circ}$ in molecule (I) and $4.8^{\circ}$ in molecule (II), and the signs and magnitudes of the displacements of the atoms from the various mean planes show clearly that there is twisting about the $A-$ $A^{\prime}$ axis rather than a simple folding at the $C-E$ ring junctions. As a consequence of the inversion centres, the overall conformation of each molecule is one in which rings $B$ and $D^{\prime}$ are twisted to one side of the central $E F E^{\prime}$ plane and rings $D$ and $B^{\prime}$ to the other, rather than a propeller one.

We thank Professor Clar for the crystals and the National Research Council of Canada for the award of an operating grant (to GF).
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